

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED
CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC

2011 OCT 18 A 9:37

David E. Simpson, #11164-058,
*aka David Ezell Simpson, David
Simpson fka David Ezell Simpson*
#0370802,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Chesterfield County, S.C.; Chesterfield
County Clerk of Court; Chesterfield
County Sheriff Office,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 4:11-2330-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the pro se Plaintiff's complaint, which was filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.

On September 21, 2011, United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 14 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written

objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, after review, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R (Entry 11) and incorporates it herein by specific reference, and it is

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

October 17, 2011
Charleston, South Carolina

